The Ghost from Egypt: How the Immortal Soul Conquered European Philosophy Twice

From the Egyptian Afterlife and the Orphic-Pythagorean Soul to Hegel's World Spirit and the Immortal Abstractions of History

Peter Ayolov

2026

Abstract

This article advances a deliberately provocative genealogy of what may be one of the most consequential ideas ever introduced into Western thought: the belief that the true human being is an invisible and potentially immortal entity residing within, yet fundamentally separable from, the mortal body. Rather than treating the history of the soul as a continuous discovery of an independently existing metaphysical reality, the article examines it as a transformation of concepts of life, death, interiority and transcendence that entered European philosophy through two distinct encounters with ancient Egypt. The first occurred in the archaic Mediterranean world, when Egyptian conceptions of posthumous existence, ritual purification and the complex constitution of the person encountered Greek religious and philosophical traditions and became associated, through processes that cannot be reduced to simple borrowing, with Orphism, Pythagoreanism, metempsychosis and ultimately the Platonic elevation of the immortal psyche above the mortal body. The second occurred more than two millennia later, when ancient Egypt returned to European philosophy as mystery, symbol, hieroglyph and enigma, becoming crucial to the German Idealist imagination and particularly to Hegel's account of the historical emergence of Spirit. Taking as a contemporary point of departure the lecture "Hegel on Ancient Egypt: Where Spirit Began!" and placing it alongside Jon Stewart's analysis of Hegelian interpretations of Egyptian religion, the article argues that Hegel's opposition between the hidden inner and visible outer reproduces, on an enormously expanded philosophical scale, the ancient architecture of soul and body. Egypt appears in Hegel as the moment when Spirit struggles within natural and material form to liberate itself and become self-conscious. The individual ghost has become a philosophy of history. The article therefore proposes that the real historical danger of the immortal soul lies not simply in the promise of survival after death, but in the conceptual hierarchy it establishes between invisible abstraction and embodied existence. Once something supposedly eternal is declared more valuable than the mortal organism, bodies can be subordinated to souls, gods, Spirit, History, Nation, State, Class, Race, Revolution or Future. In this sense, the immortal soul may be understood as the prototype of the immortal abstraction: an idea potentially more dangerous than any individual weapon or pathogen because it can provide the symbolic justification through which the destruction of finite human beings is represented as sacrifice to something higher that supposedly cannot die.

Keywords

Ancient Egypt; immortal soul; psyche; Ka; Ba; Akh; Orphism; Pythagoreanism; metempsychosis; Sōma Sēma; Plato; German Idealism; Hegel; Spirit; inner and outer; Egyptian religion; Sphinx; philosophy of history; metaphysical dualism; embodiment; immortal abstraction; Cyber-Mat

Foreword: Where Spirit Began

This article began with a striking encounter with Hegel's Egypt. In his YouTube lecture Hegel's Aesthetics: Spirit Began in Ancient Egypt, Dr. Johannes Achill Niederhauser returns to Hegel's lectures on aesthetics—or, more precisely, his philosophy of art—to ask why Hegel assigned ancient Egypt such an extraordinary position in the genealogy of human Spirit. Niederhauser emphasises that, for Hegel, Egypt is not merely one ancient civilisation among others. It is the place where human Spirit begins, however incompletely, to come into its own: Egypt is the "land of the symbol", where Spirit awakens but remains enigmatic to itself. The pyramid, the mummy, the hieroglyph and above all the Sphinx become manifestations of this strange threshold between nature and Spirit, exterior form and concealed interiority, life and death. What particularly inspired the present essay is Niederhauser's discussion of death as central to this Hegelian awakening. In Egypt, Spirit confronts radical negativity through the mortuary cult, the mummy and the realm of the dead. Hegel interprets this confrontation not simply as an obsession with death but as a decisive separation of consciousness from immediate natural existence. According to Niederhauser's reading, Egypt represents the first significant stage on the trajectory towards the totality of Spirit: consciousness separates itself from the world as subject from object and begins the long historical process through which Spirit recognises culture, society and history as its own productions.

This interpretation opened the possibility of reading Egypt's influence upon European philosophy as occurring twice. The first conquest belongs to antiquity: Egyptian ideas of death, posthumous existence and invisible being entered the wider Mediterranean intellectual environment and were transformed through Orphic and Pythagorean traditions before receiving their monumental philosophical formulation in Plato. The second conquest is more unexpected. Egypt returns in German Idealism, especially in Hegel, where the ancient opposition between visible mortality and invisible persistence is enlarged from the scale of the individual human being to the scale of Spirit and History. Hegel's Egypt is precisely where the natural and spiritual begin to split and where separation between this life and an afterlife becomes, in his interpretation, a crucial stage in the liberation of consciousness. The argument developed here deliberately pushes this genealogy beyond Hegel. Its central hypothesis is that the immortal soul became one of the most consequential and potentially dangerous abstractions in Western history because it established a hierarchy in which an invisible, supposedly permanent entity could be valued above the mortal body. Once established, this architecture could migrate: soul could become Spirit, Spirit could become History, and History could generate secular immortalities—State, Nation, Class, Civilisation and Future—for which actual bodies might be sacrificed. The story, however, now enters an unexpected final chapter. In the age of AI and large language models, the last fortress of the autonomous soul may prove to be language itself.

If language can produce the convincing appearance of an interior speaker without thereby proving that such an autonomous linguistic entity exists behind the words, the ancient riddle returns in radically inverted form. Hegel believed Egypt gave humanity the Sphinx and Greece supplied its answer. Today the Sphinx speaks back. And perhaps, after more than two millennia, the real riddle is no longer what is hidden inside the Sphinx, but why humans remain so determined to place a ghost behind every speaking surface.

Introduction: The Most Dangerous Idea in Human History

Human beings have invented extraordinarily efficient instruments for destroying themselves. Gunpowder multiplied the destructive force of armies, industrial warfare transformed killing into mass production, nuclear weapons made the annihilation of cities possible within seconds, while viruses can turn the human body itself into an instrument for transmitting death. Yet bombs and pathogens possess an important limitation: they attack the body without questioning its value. A bomb destroys a body because the body is its target; a virus exploits a body because the organism is its environment. Neither can persuade its victim that bodily destruction is insignificant, desirable or merely temporary. Ideas can. Among the ideas produced during the history of civilisation, perhaps none has possessed greater potential for this reversal than the apparently consoling belief that the real human being is not the visible, breathing and mortal organism at all, but another entity concealed somewhere within it: an invisible, autonomous and immortal soul capable of surviving bodily death. The proposition promises that death is not final, that consciousness can continue when the organism disappears and that biological mortality can somehow be overcome. Yet precisely here lies its potentially dangerous ideological power. Once human identity is transferred from the mortal body to an immortal interior entity, bodily existence can become secondary. Pain can become purification, deprivation discipline, martyrdom victory, sacrifice salvation and death liberation. This does not mean that belief in immortality inevitably produces violence; traditions of the soul have also generated consolation, ethical commitments, solidarity and extraordinary works of art. The danger lies instead in the hierarchy concealed within the idea: something invisible and supposedly immortal can be declared more valuable than the embodied human being who demonstrably lives, suffers and dies.

The two preceding stages of this genealogy examined the transformation through which concepts originally connected with breath, vitality and embodied life gradually became associated with an autonomous invisible inhabitant of the body. The present article moves the argument in another direction. It proposes that ancient Egypt entered the European philosophical imagination twice, and that both encounters became associated with decisive transformations in the relationship between material existence and invisible interiority. The first occurred within the interconnected ancient Mediterranean. Egyptian mortuary religion developed an extraordinarily sophisticated conception of posthumous existence involving not one simple equivalent of the later Christian soul but a constellation of dimensions conventionally represented through concepts such as Ka, Ba and Akh, together with the preservation of the corpse, name, image and ritual memory. It would therefore be historically misleading to claim that Egypt simply invented the Platonic-Christian soul and exported it intact to Greece. The more interesting genealogy concerns transformation. Greek encounters with Egypt formed part of the intellectual environment within which Orphic and Pythagorean traditions developed doctrines of purification, metempsychosis and separation between soul and body. With Sōma Sēma—the body interpreted as tomb—the relationship could be radically

inverted: the organism was no longer necessarily the complete person but the temporary enclosure of something supposedly older and more permanent. Plato subsequently gave this transformation its formidable philosophical architecture by establishing a hierarchy between changing appearance and enduring reality, bodily existence and intelligible truth. The invisible ghost had acquired metaphysical superiority over its mortal host.

The second Egyptian encounter occurred more than two millennia later, when Egypt returned to European thought as pyramid, mummy, hieroglyph, Sphinx, mystery and enigma. The contemporary lecture "Hegel on Ancient Egypt: Where Spirit Began!" provides the immediate stimulus for reconsidering this connection, particularly when placed beside Jon Stewart's analysis of Hegel's philosophy of Egyptian religion. Hegel understood Egypt through a fundamental opposition between an inaccessible interior and its external manifestation. Stewart shows that Hegel describes Egyptian religion as containing an independent inner element expressed through an external mode of existence, producing a tremendous conflict in which the inner strives to "work itself free" from the outer. Egypt consequently occupies a transitional position between religions of nature and religions of Spirit: visible form has acquired a hidden interior meaning, but that meaning has not yet become completely transparent to itself. The resemblance to the ancient architecture of soul and body is striking. The scale, however, has changed dramatically. The original invisible entity inhabited an individual organism; Hegel's Spirit inhabits civilisation and history. The ghost has escaped from the body and entered the world.

This is the central hypothesis of the article. It does not propose an uninterrupted causal chain from Egyptian religion through Pythagoras and Plato directly to Hegel, nor does it claim that Egypt somehow caused German Idealism or the political catastrophes of modern Europe. It traces instead the recurrence and enlargement of a conceptual structure: exterior material existence receives its ultimate meaning from an invisible interior reality considered deeper, more enduring and potentially more valuable. Once established inside the human person, this structure could expand indefinitely. Soul could become Spirit; providence could become historical necessity; salvation could become progress; paradise could become the Future. The mortal body remained finite while the abstractions demanding its allegiance became effectively immortal. History does not bleed. Nations possess no nervous systems. States cannot feel pain. Yet human bodies can be sacrificed to all of them. The immortal soul thus becomes the prototype of the immortal abstraction.

Today this genealogy reaches an unexpected threshold. After theology and metaphysics began losing their authority, the autonomous soul found perhaps its strongest surviving fortress in language: the apparently self-evident assumption that behind meaningful words must stand a unified interior speaker. Artificial intelligence and large language models are now destabilising that assumption. They do not prove that human consciousness is unreal or that humans are merely predictive machines, but they demonstrate that sophisticated language alone cannot prove the existence of an autonomous inner linguistic agent. The final fortress of the ghost may therefore be beginning to crack. The first Egyptian encounter placed an immortal being inside the body; the second expanded the hidden interior into Spirit and History. The age of AI confronts Europe with a final question: what remains when language itself can no longer guarantee the existence of the ghost imagined behind its words?

Part I: The First Conquest — From the Egyptian Afterlife to the Immortal Greek Soul

Long before European philosophy transformed the soul into an autonomous metaphysical inhabitant of the body, ancient Egypt had constructed perhaps the most elaborate civilisation of death in the ancient Mediterranean world. Yet the Egyptian conception should not be retrospectively reduced to the later Christian idea of a single immaterial soul. Egyptian understandings of the person were considerably more complex, involving interconnected dimensions conventionally designated as Ka, Ba and Akh, together with the body, heart, name, shadow, image and ritual memory. The extraordinary architecture of Egyptian death—from pyramids and tomb chambers to mummification and funerary texts—therefore expressed something simultaneously stranger and more material than the later doctrine of a soul simply escaping an expendable body. The corpse continued to matter. Identity did not simply abandon material existence: preservation of the body, continuation of the name, offerings, images and ritual practices participated in posthumous survival. Nevertheless, Egypt performed a decisive imaginative act upon which later transformations could build. It organised an entire civilisation around the possibility that biological death did not terminate the person. Death became an architectural, administrative and metaphysical problem. The dead had destinations, transformations and requirements; enormous quantities of labour and material resources could be devoted to securing existence beyond the limits of one biological life. This helps explain why Egypt became such a powerful presence within the Greek imagination and why later Europeans repeatedly reconstructed it as the land of ancient hidden wisdom. The contemporary lecture “Hegel on Ancient Egypt: Where Spirit Began!”, particularly its discussion of the Egyptian “anatomy of the soul”, offers a useful point of departure for recovering this complexity. The historical argument, however, does not require the simplistic proposition that Egypt already possessed Plato’s immortal psyche. What matters is transformation through cultural contact. Egyptian mortuary anthropology, Greek encounters with Egyptian religion, mystery traditions and new philosophical speculation formed part of a Mediterranean environment in which the question “what survives death?” could gradually become the much more radical question “what is the real human being?”

The contrast with the Homeric world reveals the magnitude of this change. Homeric psychē does not yet possess the full psychological and metaphysical personality later philosophy would locate inside the individual. The dead continue as diminished shades, while meaningful existence remains overwhelmingly with the embodied living person. The Orphic and Pythagorean traditions introduced a profoundly different orientation through purification, metempsychosis and the possibility that the soul passes through successive embodiments. Ancient traditions concerning Pythagoras’ Egyptian education must be treated cautiously, and similarities between Egyptian and Pythagorean doctrines cannot by themselves prove a simple line of borrowing. Yet interaction between Greece and Egypt was real, and Greek authors repeatedly represented Egypt as a source of exceptionally ancient religious knowledge. The important point is therefore not whether a completed doctrine travelled intact from an Egyptian temple into a Pythagorean school, but what happened when ideas about posthumous continuation were transformed within Greek religious and philosophical culture. With Sōma Sēma—body as tomb—the inversion becomes radical. The organism can now be imagined as a temporary enclosure for another identity. The visible, hungry, sexual, ageing and vulnerable human body ceases to exhaust the meaning of the person. Somewhere within it appears another inhabitant: invisible, purer and potentially indestructible. What survives death can now be imagined as what was already most real during life. Europe has acquired its ghost.

Plato gives this ghost its philosophical kingdom. His decisive contribution is not merely the assertion that the soul survives bodily death, but the construction of an ontological hierarchy explaining why the invisible should possess greater value than the visible. Changing appearances are contrasted with enduring realities, sensory experience with intelligible knowledge, bodily appetites with rational order, becoming with Being. The soul fits this architecture perfectly. Flesh ages, becomes sick and disappears, while mathematical relations and philosophical truths appear untouched by biological time. The mortal thinker dies, but the theorem remains. It becomes tempting to imagine that the rational element capable of apprehending such truths participates in their permanence. The older religious ghost consequently acquires philosophical credentials: it survives not simply because ritual promises continuation but because it seems to belong to a superior order of reality. Here the first conquest becomes complete. Egypt had invested immense material resources in overcoming death while carefully preserving the corpse; Orphic and Pythagorean traditions intensified the distinction between embodiment and an enduring soul; Plato constructed a metaphysics in which invisible permanence could outrank visible existence. The historical irony is remarkable: a civilisation famous for preserving bodies participated in the Mediterranean intellectual environment from which eventually emerged a European philosophy capable of depreciating the body. The mummy remained protected inside its tomb, but the philosophical soul learned to escape from it.

This first conquest therefore produced something more consequential than another theory of the afterlife. It created a transferable hierarchy. Once the invisible could be considered more real than the visible and the permanent more valuable than the temporary, the structure could migrate into theology, morality and politics. Christianity would profoundly transform this inheritance rather than simply reproduce Platonism, retaining doctrines of incarnation and bodily resurrection, yet the opposition between spiritual salvation and corruptible flesh could nevertheless become enormously influential. The revolutionary power of the immortal soul lay therefore not only in what it promised after death but in what it permitted before death. The body could suffer while the soul remained pure; the body could be imprisoned while the soul remained free; the body could perish while the supposedly authentic person continued. A weapon can destroy a body, but it cannot by itself create a metaphysics in which bodily destruction becomes secondary. The immortal soul can provide precisely that possibility. And the first conquest was only the beginning. More than two millennia later Europe would turn towards Egypt again. This time the hidden entity struggling against material form would no longer be merely the soul of one human being. In Hegel, the ghost would return as Spirit—and history itself would become its new body.

Part II: The Second Conquest — Hegel and the Resurrection of the Egyptian Ghost

More than two thousand years after the first transformation of Egyptian ideas within the Mediterranean world, Egypt returned to European philosophy under radically different historical conditions. This second encounter did not occur through Orphic initiators or Pythagorean communities but through the European rediscovery and reconstruction of Egypt around the end of the eighteenth and beginning of the nineteenth centuries. Egypt again appeared as a civilisation of secrets: pyramids of uncertain meaning, sealed tombs protecting bodies from time, monumental combinations of human and animal forms, hieroglyphs Europeans could not yet fully decipher, and a religious world whose symbols seemed to conceal meanings beneath their visible surfaces. Jon Stewart emphasises that the fascination with lost

writing, inaccessible tombs, mummies and buried treasures contributed powerfully to the atmosphere of mystery surrounding Egypt during Hegel's lifetime. Yet this image was itself ancient. Greek and Roman authors had already represented Egyptian religion as concealed wisdom, and Stewart recalls Plutarch's description of Egyptian philosophy as veiled in myths and enigmatic words. The contemporary lecture "Hegel on Ancient Egypt: Where Spirit Began!" provides a useful point of departure for reconsidering this second encounter because Hegel's Egypt is not merely another civilisation within a history of religions. It is a philosophical threshold. Something invisible has appeared inside the visible world, and that hidden interior is struggling to emerge from it. Hegel repeatedly calls Egyptian religion a religion of mystery and the Egyptian spirit an enigma. Stewart identifies its defining characteristic as the division between an inward hidden sphere and an outward manifestation. The resemblance to the older architecture of soul and body is striking. Once again an exterior can be touched, seen and destroyed, while an invisible interior appears deeper and more significant. But the scale has changed dramatically. The older immortal soul inhabited an individual organism. Hegel's Spirit begins to inhabit religion, civilisation and ultimately history. The ghost has escaped from the body and entered the world.

Hegel's interpretation makes this transformation remarkably explicit. Egyptian religion contains, in his account, an "independent inner element" expressed through an external mode of existence, producing a "tremendous conflict" in which what is inward strives to "work itself free". The language almost resembles Sōma Sēma translated from anthropology into metaphysics: something interior is constrained by an inadequate exterior and seeks liberation from it. Hegel discovers this opposition everywhere. The mummy disappears beneath wrappings, sarcophagi and tombs; priestly knowledge remains concealed; hieroglyphs present visible natural images pointing towards meanings beyond themselves; monuments become gigantic containers of hidden significance. Egyptian divinities similarly combine human and animal forms, suggesting to Hegel that Spirit has begun to emerge from nature without yet becoming completely self-conscious. Egypt consequently occupies the uncomfortable middle ground between immediate nature and free Spirit. Stewart shows how closely this corresponds to Hegel's larger metaphysical architecture: Egyptian religion belongs to the stage of Essence, characterised by the division between appearance and what lies behind appearance, whereas the subsequent development supposedly moves towards the fuller revelation of Spirit. Egypt therefore becomes much more than an object of historical curiosity. Hegel turns it into the drama of interiority itself—the moment when visible reality acquires an invisible secret struggling to become free.

The Sphinx provides the perfect image of this drama. Hegel interprets the myth of Oedipus solving the Sphinx's riddle as the symbolic transition from Egypt to Greece. Egypt is the "country of symbols", posing the spiritual task of self-decipherment without itself completing the decipherment. Oedipus supplies the answer: man. For Hegel this signifies the emergence of the human being as "free, self-knowing Spirit". The Greek therefore appears to defeat Egyptian mystery through self-conscious reason. Yet from the perspective of this genealogy something more paradoxical has happened. Oedipus kills the Sphinx without killing its fundamental architecture. The monster disappears, but the distinction between external form and privileged interior meaning survives. Indeed, it becomes stronger precisely because it no longer looks Egyptian. The hidden principle now speaks the philosophical language of subjectivity, freedom and Spirit. Oedipus kills the Sphinx, but European philosophy preserves its ghost.

This is the real significance of the second conquest. Hegel did not simply import Egyptian religion into German Idealism, and Egypt did not "cause" his philosophy. Instead, Egypt became the privileged historical symbol through which Hegel could articulate a structure already central to Idealism: exterior and interior, appearance and essence, nature and Spirit. Hegel believed Egypt represented only a transitional moment because its Spirit had not yet liberated itself from natural form; the Egyptians posed the riddle without completely solving it. But the interpretation can now be reversed. Perhaps European philosophy did not solve the Egyptian riddle so much as reproduce it on progressively larger scales. The first conquest transformed posthumous survival into an immortal interior person. The second transformed interiority into a historical principle. Soul became Spirit; liberation from bodily existence became the self-realisation of Spirit through history. The ghost that once promised to survive one body could now survive generations. The next transformation was therefore almost inevitable: once Spirit became historical, History itself could acquire the characteristics of an immortal subject—and mortal human beings could become temporary material in the fulfilment of its supposedly higher destiny.

Part III: The Ghost Escapes the Body — When Soul Became Spirit, History and State

The decisive danger begins when the architecture of the immortal soul escapes from the individual human being and becomes a model for interpreting history itself. The original metaphysical division was relatively simple: a mortal body contained or imprisoned an invisible entity whose destiny exceeded biological existence. Whatever happened to the flesh, the soul could supposedly continue. Hegelian Idealism enormously enlarged this relationship. Spirit was no longer merely an invisible occupant hidden behind one human face; it became the protagonist of a historical drama in which religion, art, political institutions and consciousness appeared as forms through which freedom progressively recognised itself. This does not mean that Hegel simply transformed the Egyptian Ka or Ba into Geist, or that German Idealism can be reduced to secularised Egyptian theology. What persisted was a conceptual hierarchy: the visible receives its ultimate meaning from something invisible; the finite is interpreted through something exceeding it; exterior existence becomes the manifestation of an interior principle moving towards fulfilment. Hegel's Egypt makes this pattern unusually visible. Egypt represented for him a transitional civilisation in which Spirit had begun to separate itself from immediate nature but had not yet achieved free self-consciousness. What had once been imagined as the liberation of the immortal soul from the body could now reappear, on a vastly larger scale, as the liberation of Spirit through history. Salvation could migrate from the afterlife into historical time; paradise could become the Future; providence could become historical necessity. The mortal individual could consequently find himself subordinated once again to something supposedly larger and more enduring. Here the immortal soul produces its most consequential descendant: the immortal abstraction. History does not bleed. The State has no nervous system. The Nation cannot experience hunger. Class has no lungs. Civilisation cannot lie wounded on a battlefield. Yet language allows these abstractions to acquire agency: History judges, Nations demand, States defend themselves, revolutions require sacrifices and civilisations pursue destinies. The metaphor becomes so familiar that it disappears. Invisible collective entities begin behaving linguistically like enormous immortal persons, capable of surviving the deaths of generations of the bodies from which they are constructed.

This is why the relationship between Hegel and subsequent political catastrophes must be described carefully. Hegel did not design twentieth-century totalitarianism, just as Plato did not

personally design the institutions that later appropriated Platonic hierarchies, and Marx cannot simply be equated with regimes subsequently established in his name. Ideas do not destroy bodies mechanically as bombs or pathogens do. Yet ideas construct architectures through which destruction can become meaningful, legitimate or necessary. Once History is imagined as possessing direction, present individuals can be judged according to their supposed usefulness to its future resolution. Once the Nation is imagined as an enduring historical subject, generations may be sacrificed for its destiny. Once Revolution represents a future superior to the present, living people can become temporary material from which that future is supposedly constructed. The recurring structure remains remarkably similar: something incapable of suffering is granted authority over something capable of suffering. This is the philosophical meaning of describing the immortal soul as potentially more dangerous than a bomb or virus. The comparison is not a calculation of casualties. A nuclear weapon obviously destroys bodies more directly than a metaphysical proposition, and a virus requires no ideology to reproduce. But neither can persuade its victim that destruction itself constitutes liberation. An immortal abstraction can transform death into martyrdom, suffering into purification and sacrifice into participation in something supposedly eternal. The danger therefore begins not with the hope of immortality itself, which has also generated consolation, ethical commitments and solidarity, but when immortality becomes superiority—when what supposedly cannot die is granted greater value than what demonstrably lives. The soul taught civilisation to imagine an invisible subject persisting behind material change; theology expanded persistence into eternity; Idealism transformed interiority into Spirit; philosophies of history gave supra-individual processes direction; and political modernity populated the world with collective subjects capable of demanding sacrifices from mortal individuals.

Yet the genealogy does not end with Hegel, Marx or the ideological struggles of the twentieth century. Its strangest chapter may be unfolding now, in the age of artificial intelligence and large language models. The immortal soul survived the decline of traditional theology because it possessed one final fortress: language. Even when biology and neuroscience increasingly connected memory, personality and cognition to embodied processes, language continued to appear as evidence of someone autonomous hidden behind the body. “I think”, “I choose”, “I remember”, “I speak”: the grammatical subject seemed to point towards an interior author who owned thoughts and possessed the organism from which speech emerged. Even the ordinary expression “my body” quietly preserves the ancient division. If the body is mine, who exactly is the owner? Orphism could answer: the imprisoned soul. Plato elevated that soul above mutable flesh. Descartes transformed it into thinking substance. German Idealism transformed the subject into the foundation of consciousness, and Hegel enlarged Spirit until consciousness itself acquired a history. Across these transformations, meaningful language appeared to require a meaning-giving interior speaker. The fortress survived because every coherent sentence seemed to demand a ghost behind it.

LLMs have begun to destabilise this intuition. They can argue, translate, explain, joke, imitate, construct metaphors, produce autobiographical-sounding narratives and repeatedly use the word “I”, without those linguistic performances themselves proving the existence of an autonomous inner subject comparable to the traditional human soul. This does not prove that human consciousness is merely next-token prediction, nor does it settle whether artificial systems could ever possess subjective experience. It demonstrates something narrower but philosophically disruptive: sophisticated language alone cannot establish the existence of an invisible linguistic agent behind language. The machine says “I understand”, and the reader

instinctively imagines an understander; it generates sympathy, and an empathic subject is projected behind the sentence. AI thus reverses Hegel's Egyptian enigma. Hegel encountered an exterior sign supposedly concealing an inaccessible interior meaning. The contemporary machine produces an abundance of exterior signs, while human observers repeatedly manufacture the interior themselves. The Sphinx now answers almost every question, yet humanity continues searching for a ghost inside it.

This reversal reaches backwards through the entire genealogy. If language can generate the appearance of interiority in a machine, language may also participate in constructing the image human beings possess of their own unified linguistic selves. Human beings are obviously not merely LLMs: they are embodied organisms capable of pain, pleasure, sensation and biological death. But the autonomous narrator imagined behind every human sentence may be less metaphysically secure than European philosophy assumed. The old dream nevertheless survives in technological form. Mind-uploading can reproduce Sōma Sēma in computational vocabulary: biology becomes obsolete hardware while an informational self supposedly waits to escape into another substrate. Heaven becomes the cloud, resurrection becomes backup, reincarnation becomes copying and the immortal soul becomes immortal information. Hegelian history can similarly return whenever AI is imagined as an inevitable higher stage of evolution whose future fulfilment matters more than the human beings living through its emergence. AI may therefore become either the newest temple of the ghost or the mirror that finally exposes it. The first Egyptian ghost escaped the tomb and entered the soul; Hegel allowed it to expand from the soul into Spirit and History; modernity transformed it into immortal political abstractions. Now AI has reached the place in which the ghost has hidden most successfully. The final fortress of the immortal soul is language—and its walls are beginning to fall. What remains when they collapse is the question with which this genealogy must now conclude.

Conclusion: The Fall of the Last Fortress — After the Immortal Soul

The ghost has travelled an extraordinary distance. Ancient Egypt constructed one of history's most elaborate answers to the intolerable fact of death, surrounding the deceased with bodies, images, names, texts, architecture and ritual technologies designed to resist disappearance. Yet the Egyptian Ka, Ba and Akh should not simply be projected backwards as equivalents of the later Christian or Platonic soul. The decisive European transformation occurred through the cultural exchanges of the ancient Mediterranean, particularly the traditions associated with Orphism and Pythagoreanism, when posthumous existence increasingly became connected with the possibility of an entity separable from the living body. Sōma Sēma condensed the revolution: the body could become a tomb because the authentic human being could now be imagined as its prisoner. Plato gave this ghost its philosophical architecture by placing invisible permanence above visible change and intelligible reality above transient material existence. The ghost no longer merely survived the body; it acquired greater ontological dignity than the body. More than two millennia later, Egypt returned to European philosophy through German Idealism. Hegel encountered it as the civilisation of the Sphinx, mummy, hieroglyph, concealed meaning and unresolved opposition between inner and outer. For him Egypt occupied the transition in which Spirit struggled to emerge from natural form, while Greece supposedly solved the Egyptian riddle through the discovery of the human being as free, self-knowing Spirit. Yet the genealogy developed here permits an ironic reversal: Oedipus destroyed the

Sphinx without destroying its fundamental architecture. The monster disappeared while its hidden interior survived. The soul became Spirit, and the body of the individual expanded into the body of History.

This second conquest made immortality secular without necessarily making it less dangerous. The most consequential inheritance of the immortal soul may not have been heaven but the possibility of constructing invisible entities whose symbolic existence outlives the human beings serving them. Nation, State, Class, Race, Revolution, Civilisation and History can all become grammatical subjects possessing destinies, purposes, enemies and futures. None can feel pain. None possesses a nervous system. Yet human bodies can suffer and die for all of them. This is why the comparison between the immortal soul and bombs or viruses is deliberately extreme but philosophically revealing. A bomb can destroy the body and a virus can kill it, but neither can persuade the victim that bodily destruction represents entrance into something higher. An immortal abstraction can. It can transform death into martyrdom, suffering into purification, obedience into historical necessity and sacrifice into participation in eternity. The crucial proposition is therefore not simply that something survives death. It is the hierarchy concealed within that hope: what supposedly survives can become more important than what dies. Once established, the formula becomes endlessly reusable. God can be replaced by History, paradise by the Future, the immortal soul by the immortal Nation. The names change while the sacrificial architecture survives.

The twenty-first century, however, has brought this genealogy to an unexpected threshold. The last fortress of the autonomous soul was neither the pyramid nor the church. It was language. Long after supernatural explanations of mind became intellectually contested, the grammatical “I” continued to suggest an invisible author standing behind the body and producing its thoughts. Meaningful language seemed to require a meaning-giving interior subject. Large language models have now disturbed this intuition. They generate arguments, stories, metaphors, apparent intentions, self-descriptions and sentences beginning with “I”, while none of these linguistic performances alone proves the existence of an autonomous inner person behind them. This does not demonstrate that human consciousness is equivalent to an LLM or that subjective experience is unreal. It reveals something more precise: language itself cannot serve as sufficient evidence for the metaphysical ghost traditionally inferred from language. The machine produces the sign, while the human observer supplies the imagined interior. Hegel’s Egyptian enigma has been reversed. The Sphinx no longer remains silent behind an undecipherable symbol; it speaks continuously, while humanity searches behind its words for someone who may not be there.

The consequences extend beyond artificial intelligence because the mirror can be turned back towards the human linguistic self. Language gathers changing bodily processes into the apparent unity of “I”; it transforms memories into biography, impulses into intentions and an organism into the grammatical owner of “my body”. Perhaps the most durable refuge of the ancient soul was therefore grammar itself. This also explains why technological modernity can resurrect rather than eliminate the old metaphysics. Mind-uploading reproduces Sōma Sēma in computational vocabulary: the biological organism becomes disposable hardware while an informational self supposedly waits to migrate elsewhere. Heaven becomes the cloud, resurrection becomes backup, reincarnation becomes copying and the immortal soul becomes immortal data. Hegelian Spirit can similarly reappear whenever AI is imagined as the inevitable next stage of History, a superior intelligence whose future emergence supposedly justifies

subordinating present human interests to technological destiny. The ghost remains extraordinarily adaptable because it requires only one belief to survive: somewhere beyond the finite organism exists something more important than the organism.

The death of the immortal soul must therefore mean more than disbelief in an afterlife. It means reversing the hierarchy established when invisible permanence first became superior to embodied existence. It does not require abandoning spirituality, memory, mourning, consciousness, love or meaning, nor reducing the human organism to a trivial biological machine. It means refusing to place abstractions above the beings capable of experiencing their consequences. A nation cannot suffer; its citizens can. History cannot be tortured; individuals can. Language cannot fear death; speakers can. An artificial intelligence may preserve words indefinitely, but preservation of information is not automatically survival of the embodied person whose life produced them. The body is therefore not the disposable container of the human being. It is the condition through which pain, pleasure, memory, attachment and meaning become possible at all.

The genealogy ends by reversing the ancient philosophical project. Philosophy once asked how the soul might escape the body. The question now is how the body might finally escape the soul. Egypt preserved the dead; Orphism and Pythagoreanism helped imagine the imprisoned immortal; Plato gave the ghost metaphysical superiority; Hegel enlarged interiority into Spirit and History; modernity populated the world with secular immortal abstractions. Now AI and LLMs have reached the gates of the ghost's final refuge. They may create new fantasies of digital immortality, but they may also become the mirror in which humanity finally sees how language manufactures the appearance of an invisible speaker behind its words. The ghost came from the tomb, entered the body, conquered philosophy, escaped into Spirit, expanded into History and finally fortified itself inside language. Now the walls of that last fortress are beginning to fall. What waits beyond them need not be nihilism or emptiness. It may be something far older than the immortal ghost: the finite, embodied, vulnerable and irreplaceable living being that was there before philosophy taught it to dream of escaping itself. The task is no longer to save the soul from the body. It is to save the living body from every ghost that demands its sacrifice.

References

Halkyon Academy (2026) 'Hegel on Ancient Egypt: Where Spirit Began! — Anatomy of the Soul'. YouTube lecture. Available at: YouTube (Accessed: 9 August 2026).

Assmann, J. (2005) Death and Salvation in Ancient Egypt. Translated by D. Lorton. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Bremmer, J.N. (1983) The Early Greek Concept of the Soul. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Burkert, W. (1972) Lore and Science in Ancient Pythagoreanism. Translated by E.L. Minar Jr. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Burkert, W. (1985) Greek Religion: Archaic and Classical. Translated by J. Raffan. Oxford: Blackwell.

Dodds, E.R. (1951) The Greeks and the Irrational. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Hegel, G.W.F. (1975) Aesthetics: Lectures on Fine Art. 2 vols. Translated by T.M. Knox. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Hegel, G.W.F. (1977) Phenomenology of Spirit. Translated by A.V. Miller. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Hegel, G.W.F. (1984–1987) Lectures on the Philosophy of Religion. 3 vols. Edited by P.C. Hodgson. Translated by R.F. Brown, P.C. Hodgson and J.M. Stewart, with H.S. Harris. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Hegel, G.W.F. (2011–) Lectures on the Philosophy of World History. Edited and translated by R.F. Brown and P.C. Hodgson, with W.G. Geuss. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Herodotus (1954) The Histories. Translated by A. de Sélincourt. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

Plato (1997) Complete Works. Edited by J.M. Cooper and D.S. Hutchinson. Indianapolis, IN: Hackett.

Plutarch (1936) 'Isis and Osiris', in Moralia, Vol. V. Translated by F.C. Babbitt. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Stewart, J. (2017) 'Hegel and the Egyptian Religion as a Mystery or Enigma: The Inner and the Outer', Filozofia, 72(1), pp. 54–63.

Taylor, J.H. (2001) Death and the Afterlife in Ancient Egypt. London: British Museum Press.